10B 2SamT-test

Contents

| В | Two | o-sample T-test |
|---|-----|---|
| | B.1 | When S_1 , S_2 must be used instead of σ_1 , σ_2 and $n < 40$ |
| | B.2 | Formula for the Degrees of freedom |
| | B.3 | Example: |
| | B.4 | Data: |
| | B.5 | Ex: Arizona Water |
| | B.6 | Ex: Battery Life |
| | B.7 | Example: |

Textbook: Devore 8e

[ToC]

B.1 When S_1 , S_2 must be used instead of σ_1 , σ_2 and n < 40

One-sample case,

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1) \qquad \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{S/\sqrt{n}} \sim t(n - 1)$$

That's why z-test became t-test.

Two-sample case,

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \Delta_0}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} \sim N(0, 1) \qquad \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \Delta_0}{\sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}}} \sim t(\nu)$$

Only difference of the degrees of freedom ν .

B.2 Formula for the Degrees of freedom

The degrees of freedom ν can be calculated by the formula

$$\nu = \frac{(a+b)^2}{\frac{a^2}{n_1-1} + \frac{b^2}{n_2-1}}$$
 where $a = \frac{S_1^2}{n_1}$, $b = \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}$.

If you are in hurry, you can use $\min(n_1, n_2) - 1$.

B.3 Example:

Two new methods for producing a tire have been proposed. To ascertain which is superior, a tire manufacturer produces a sample of 10 tires using the first method and a sample of 8 using the second. The first set is to be road tested at location A and the second at location B.

It is known that the lifetimes of tires tested at location A or B are normally distributed.

Manufacturer is interested in testing the hypothesis that there is no appreciable difference in the mean life of tires produced by either method.

B.4 Data:

```
Tire Lives in Units of 100 Kilometers
   Tires_at_A
                    Tires_at_B
    61.1
                    62.2
    58.2
                    56.6
                    66.4
    62.3
    64
                    56.2
    59.7
                    57.4
    66.2
                    58.4
    57.8
                    57.6
    61.4
                    65.4
    62.2
    63.6
   X \leftarrow c(61.1, 58.2, 62.3, 64, 59.7, 66.2, 57.8, 61.4, 62.2, 63.6);
   Y \leftarrow c(62.2, 56.6, 66.4, 56.2, 57.4, 58.4, 57.6, 65.4);
   t.test(X,Y)
\bar{X} = 61.65 \bar{Y} = 60.03 \leftarrow Is this an meaningful difference?
S_1^2 = 2.62 S_2^2 = 4.07.
```

We want to test

$$H_0$$
:
$$\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$$
 H_A :
$$\mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0 \quad (upper - tailed)$$

Test Statistic

$$z = \frac{X - \bar{Y} - \Delta_0}{\sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}}} = \frac{61.65 - 60.03 - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{8}}} = .979$$

P-value = $1 - \Phi(.6124) = .27$.

has higher milage on average.

(Difference is not significant)

Can't reject H_0 for $\alpha = .05$. \Rightarrow Not enough evidence to claim Radial tire

B.5 Ex: Arizona Water

(Montogomery p.342) Arsenic concentration in public drinking water supplies is a potential health risk. An article in the Arizona Republic (Sunday, May 27, 2001) reported drinking water arsenic concentrations in parts per billion (ppb) for 10 methropolitan Phoenix communities and 10 communities in rural Arizona.

```
Metro Phoenix
                       Rural Arizona
Phoenix
               3
                       Rimrock
                                      48
Chandler
                       Goodyear
                                      44
Gilbert
              25
                       New River
                                      40
Glendale
                       Apachie_Jtn
              10
                                      38
Mesa
              15
                       Buckeye
                                      33
Paradise_Vlv
                       Nogales
              6
                                      21
Peoria
              12
                       Black_Canyon
                                      20
Scottsdale
                       Sedona
              25
                                      12
Tempe
              15
                       Payson
                                       1
Sun_City
               7
                       Casa Grande
                                       18
X=c(3,7,25,10,15,6,12,25,15,7)
Y=c(48,44,40,38,33,21,20,12,1,18)
t.test(X, Y)
mean of x = 12.5
                     sd(X)
                             7.6
mean of y 27.5
                     sd(Y) 15.3
```

B.6 Ex: Battery Life

- Duracell Alkaline AA batteries vs Eveready Energizer Alkaline AA batteries. 4.5 hours and 4.2 hours, respectively.
- both sample size are 150
- The population standard deviations of lifetime are 1.8 hours for Duracell and 2.0 hours for Eveready batteries.

Test, with significance level of .05, the hypothesis of true mean lifetime of Duracell batteries is longer than that of Eveready brand.

```
x <- 4.5
y <- 4.2
m <- 150
n <- 150
s1 <- 1.8
s2 <- 2
(x-y - 0) / sqrt( s1^2/m + s2^2/n )

test stat 1.365519

1 - pnorm( 1.96 - (.5 - 0) / sqrt( s1^2/m + s2^2/n ))</pre>
```

B.7 Example:

• Stopping distances from 50 mph for two different types of braking systems.

• System A: $n_1 = 6$, $\overline{X} = 76$, $S_1 = 5$,

• System B: $n_2 = 6$, $\overline{Y} = 88$, $S_2 = 5.5$.

Use the two-sample t- test at significance level .01 to see if the data shows evidence that the population mean stopping distance of the breaking system A is more than 10 meter shorter than that of system B.

```
x <- 76
y <- 95
m <- 16
n <- 20
s1 <- 12.3
s2 <- 11.5
(x-y - (-10)) / sqrt( s1^2/m + s2^2/n )
pt( -2.01 - (-20 - (-10) ) / sqrt( s1^2/m + s2^2/n ), 15)
```